# Real Analysis

### Weixiao Shen

## 1 Introduction

Main goals:

- Measure theory: Extend the concept of length of intervals to general subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- Lebesgue integral: more convenient than the Riemann integral, in particular, for interchange of limit and integral.

### 1.1 The extended real numbers system

$$\overline{R} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}.$$

- ordering:  $-\infty < x < \infty$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- $\sup A$  and  $\inf A$ .
- algebraic operations involving  $\pm \infty$ : for addition and substraction,  $\infty + (-\infty)$ ,  $\infty \infty$ ,  $(-\infty) + \infty$ ,  $(-\infty) (-\infty)$  are not allowed; for multiplication, use  $0 \cdot \infty = 0 \cdot (-\infty) = 0$ .

### 1.2 Sum of series in $[0, \infty]$

Let  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence in  $[0, \infty] =: \overline{R}_+$ . If one of  $a_n$ 's is  $\infty$  or if all of them are in  $\mathbb{R}$  but the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  diverges, we shall write

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = \infty.$$

### 2 Sets

#### 2.1 Axiom of Choice and Zorn's lemma

**Axiom of Choice.** Let X be a set whose elements non-empty sets. Then there is a map defined on X such that for any  $A \in X$ ,  $f(A) \in A$ .

The following Zorn's Lemma is an equivalent statement of Axiom of Choice and sometimes more convenient to use.

**Definition 2.1.** A partial order on a non-empty set X is a relation, often denoted by  $\leq$ , such that

- $a \leq a$  for each  $a \in X$ ;
- For any  $a, b, c \in X$ , if  $a \le b$  and  $b \le c$  then  $a \le c$ .
- if  $a \le b$  and  $b \le a$  then a = b.

A subset E of X is totally ordered if for any  $a, b \in E$ , either  $a \le b$  or  $b \le a$ . A member x of X is called an upper bound for a subset E of X if for any  $a \in E$ ,  $a \le x$  holds. A member x of X is called maximal if for any  $x' \in X$ ,  $x \le x'$  does not hold.

**Zorn's Lemma.** Let X be a partially ordered non-empty set for which every totally ordered subset has an upper bound. Then X has a maximal element.

**Proposition 2.2.** Every vector space has a basis.

*Proof.* Let V be a vector space over some field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Recall that a subset B of V is *linearly independent* if for any positive integer n, any  $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n \in B$  and  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{F}$ ,

$$\lambda_1 b_2 + \lambda_2 b_2 + \dots + \lambda_n b_n = 0 \Longrightarrow \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_n = 0.$$

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  denote the collection of all linear independent subsets of V and define a partial order  $\leq$  as follows:  $B_1 \leq B_2$  if  $B_1 \subset B_2$ .

Let us prove that any totally ordered subset  $\mathcal{B}'$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  has an upper bound. It suffices to show that  $B' := \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}'}$  is lineally independent so that it is a desired upper bound. To this end, let  $b_1, \ldots, b_n \in B'$  and  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{F}$  be such that  $\lambda_1 b_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n b_n = 0$ . we need to show that  $\lambda_1 = \cdots = \lambda_n = 0$ . Let  $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_n \in \mathcal{B}'$  such that  $b_i \in B_i$  for each  $1 \le i \le n$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}'$  is totally ordered, for any

 $1 \le i, j \le n$  either  $B_i \subset B_j$  or  $B_j \subset B_i$ . Thus there is  $i_0 \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$  such that  $B_{i_0} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i$ . So  $b_1, b_2, ..., b_n$  are contained in the linearly independent set  $B_{i_0}$ , which implies that  $\lambda_1 = \cdots = \lambda_n = 0$ .

By Zorn's Lemma,  $\mathcal{B}$  has a maximal element B. Let us show that B is a basis of V. Otherwise, there is  $v \in B$  which cannot be expressed as a linear combination of elements of B. Hence  $B \cup \{v\}$  is again linearly independent, contradicting the maximality of B.

#### 2.2 Countable

**Definition 2.3.** We say that two sets A and B are equipotent (or have the same cardinality) if there exists a bijection  $f: A \to B$ .

**Definition 2.4.** A set E is called countable if it is equipotent to a subset of  $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \ldots\}$ . A set is called uncountable if it is not countable.

In other words, a set *E* is countable if either it is finite or there exists a bijective  $f: E \to \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** 1. If  $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of countable sets, then  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$  is countable.

- 2. A subset of a countable set is countable.
- *3.* The set of all rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}$  is countable.
- *Proof.* (1) If all these sets  $E_n$  are finite, then it is easy to show that  $\bigcup_n E_n$  is finite or countably infinite. For the general case, list the elements of  $E_n$  by  $a_{n,m}$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \ldots$  Then for each  $k \ge 1$ , the set  $F_k = \{a_{n,m} : n + m = k\}$  is finite. As  $\bigcup_n E_n = \bigcup_k F_k$ , the statement follows.
- (2) Let E be a countable set and let  $A \subset E$ . If E is finite, then A is finite. Assume that there is a bijection  $f: E \to \mathbb{N}$  and A is infinite. Then f(A) is an infinite subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Define  $n_1 = \inf f(A)$  and for each  $k \ge 1$ , define inductively  $n_{k+1} = \inf f(A) \setminus \{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k\}$ . Then  $f(A) = \{n_1, n_2, \dots\}$ . Definite  $g: f(A) \to \mathbb{N}$  by  $n_k \mapsto k$ . Then  $g \circ f: A \to \mathbb{N}$  is a bijection.
- (3) For each  $n \ge 1$ , let  $E_n = \{m/n : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Then  $E_n$  is countable. By (1), so is  $\mathbb{Q} = \bigcup_n E_n$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** *The set*  $\mathbb{R}$  *is uncountable.* 

To prove the theorem, we shall need the following lemma, which is useful in verifying that two infinite sets have different cardinality.

**Lemma 2.7.** Let Y be an arbitrary set and let  $\mathcal{Y}$  denote the set of all subsets of Y. Then there does not exist a bijection from Y to  $\mathcal{Y}$ .

*Proof of Lemma 2.7.* Arguing by contradiction, assume that there exists a bijection  $\varphi: Y \to \mathcal{Y}$ . Let

$$A = \{ y \in Y : y \notin \varphi(y) \}.$$

Since  $\varphi$  is a bijection, there exists  $a \in Y$  such that  $\varphi(a) = A$ . If  $a \in A$ , then by definition of the set A, we have  $a \notin \varphi(a) = A$ , a contradiction. If  $a \in Y \setminus A$ , then by definition of A, we have  $a \in \varphi(a) = A$ , again a contradiction!

*Proof of Theorem 2.6.* Let  $\mathcal{N}$  denote the set of all subsets of  $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, ...\}$ . Define a map  $f : \mathcal{N} \to \mathbb{R}$  as follows: for each  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$f(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_A(i)}{2^i}.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{N}_0 = \{ A \subset \mathbb{N} : \text{ either } A \text{ or } \mathbb{N} \setminus A \text{ is finite} \}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{N}_0$  is countable and thus by Lemma 2.7,  $\mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{N}_0$  is uncountable. Note that f is injective on  $\mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{N}_0$ . It follows that there is a bijection from  $U = f(\mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{N}_0) \subset \mathbb{R}$  onto a uncountable set. Therefore  $\mathbb{R}$  is uncountable.

**Theorem 2.8** (Cantor-Schöder-Berstein). Let A, B be sets. Assume that there exist injections  $f: A \to B$  and  $g: B \to A$ . Then A and B are equipotent.

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $B \subset A$  and g is the inclusion map. (Otherwise, putting  $\tilde{B} = g(B)$  and  $\tilde{f} = g \circ f$ , then  $\tilde{f}$  is an injective from A to its subset  $\tilde{B}$  and we only need to show that A and  $\tilde{B}$  are equipotent.) Let  $C_0 = A \setminus B$  and  $C_n = f^n(C_0)$  for each  $n \ge 1$ . Let  $D = B \setminus (\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n)$ . Then A is the disjoint union of D and  $C_n$ ,  $n \ge 0$ , while B is the disjoint union of D and  $C_n$ ,  $n \ge 1$ . Define

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in D, \\ f(x) & \text{if } x \in C_n \text{ for some } n \ge 0. \end{cases}$$

Then F is a bijection from A to B.

**Theorem 2.9.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a monotone function. Then f is continuous except possibly at countably many points.

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we assume that f is increasing. For each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , let

$$\delta(x) = \lim_{y \searrow x} f(y) - \lim_{y \nearrow x} f(y) \ge 0.$$

Then f is continuous at x if and only if  $\delta(x) = 0$ . In order to show that

$$E := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : f \text{ is not continuous at } x\} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \delta(x) > 0\}$$

is countable, it suffices to show that for all positive integers n, k,

$$E_{n,k} = \{x \in (-n,n) : \delta(x) > k^{-1}\}\$$

is finite. To this end, we shall prove that

$$#E_{n,k} < k(f(n) - f(-n)). (1)$$

Otherwise, there exists  $-n = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_m < x_{m+1} = n$  with  $m \ge k(f(n) - f(-n))$  and  $\delta(x_m) > 1/k$ . By definition of  $\delta(x)$ ,

$$x_0 < a_1 < x_1 < b_1 < a_2 < x_2 < b_2 < \cdots < a_m < x_m < b_m < x_{m+1}$$

such that

$$f(b_i) - f(a_i) > 1/k.$$

Then

$$f(n) - f(-n) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} (f(x_{i+1}) - f(x_i)) \ge \sum_{i=1}^{m} (f(b_i) - f(a_i)) > m/k,$$

which implies that m < k(f(n) - f(-n)), a contradiction!

An alternative proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that f is increasing. Let  $E = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : f \text{ is not continuous at } x\}$ . Then for each  $x \in E$ ,

$$a_x := \lim_{y \nearrow x} f(y) < b_x := \lim_{y \searrow x} f(y).$$

Since f is monotone, the intervals  $(a_x, b_x)$  are pairwise disjoint. For each  $x \in E$ , since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ , there exists  $q(x) \in (a_x, b_x)$ . This defines a function  $q : E \to \mathbb{Q}$ . Since  $q(x_1) \neq q(x_2)$  for any  $x_1, x_2 \in E$  with  $x_1 \neq x_2$ , q is injective. Since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is countable, E is countable.